

# Rational Diophantine Quintuples and Diagonal Genus 5 Curves

Michael Stoll Universität Bayreuth

### **Diophantine Problems**

University of Manchester 15 September 2017

## Diophantine m-Tuples

#### Definition.

A (rational) Diophantine m-tuple is an m-tuple  $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ of distinct nonzero integers (rational numbers) such that  $a_i a_j + 1$  is a square for all  $1 \le i < j \le m$ .

#### Examples.

(1,3,8,120) is a Diophantine quadruple (found by Fermat):

$$1 \cdot 3 + 1 = 2^2$$
,  $1 \cdot 8 + 1 = 3^2$ ,  $1 \cdot 120 + 1 = 11^2$   
 $3 \cdot 8 + 1 = 5^2$ ,  $3 \cdot 120 + 1 = 19^2$ ,  $8 \cdot 120 + 1 = 31^2$ 

In fact, this is just the case t = 2 in the family

$$(t-1, t+1, 4t, 4t(4t^2-1))$$

of Diophantine quadruples.

(See Andrej Dujella's homepage for exhaustive information.)

## A Diophantine Problem

Consider a given rational Diophantine quadruple  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ , for example Fermat's quadruple (1, 3, 8, 120).

#### Problem.

Find all rational numbers  $a_5$ such that  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$  is a rational Diophantine quintuple.

#### Fact.

We can always take (the "regular extensions")

 $a_5 = z_{\pm} = \frac{(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)(a_1a_2a_3a_4 + 1) + 2(a_1a_2a_3 + a_1a_2a_4 + a_1a_3a_4 + a_2a_3a_4) \pm 2s}{(a_1a_2a_3a_4 - 1)^2},$ 

where  $s = \sqrt{(a_1a_2 + 1)(a_1a_3 + 1)(a_1a_4 + 1)(a_2a_3 + 1)(a_2a_4 + 1)(a_3a_4 + 1)}$ (unless  $z_{\pm} \in \{0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ ).

Are there more possibilities in our concrete case?

### Extending Fermat's Quadruple

For all quadruples in the family shown before, we have  $z_{-} = 0$ , so there is only one regular extension.

For Fermat's quadruple (1,3,8,120), this is  $z_{+} = \frac{777\,480}{8\,288\,641}$ . We will show that this is the only extension.

Any extension  $z \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$  gives rise to a bunch of rational points on the curve

$$z + 1 = u_1^2$$
,  $3z + 1 = u_2^2$ ,  $8z + 1 = u_3^2$ ,  $120z + 1 = u_4^2$ .

This curve has genus 5, so there are only finitely many solutions.

With 
$$x = u_4$$
, this gives  $x^2 + 119 = 120u_1^2$ ,  $x^2 + 39 = 40u_2^2$ ,  $x^2 + 14 = 15u_3^2$ , hence  
 $y^2 = 5(x^2 + 119)(x^2 + 39)(x^2 + 14)$ 

with  $y = 600u_1u_2u_3$ .

### Rational Points on a Curve of Genus 2

The curve

C: 
$$y^2 = 5(x^2 + 119)(x^2 + 39)(x^2 + 14)$$

has genus 2. We want to find its rational points.

A search turns up points with x-coordinates  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm \frac{10079}{2879}$ : > P<x> := PolynomialRing(Rationals()); > C := HyperellipticCurve(5\*(x^2+119)\*(x^2+39)\*(x^2+14)); > ptsC := Points(C : Bound := 10^5); ptsC; {@ (-1 : -600 : 1), (-1 : 600 : 1), (1 : -600 : 1), (1 : 600 : 1), (-10079 : -22426285104600 : 2879), (-10079 : 22426285104600 : 2879), (10079 : -22426285104600 : 2879), (10079 : 22426285104600 : 2879) @} They correspond to z = 0 and  $z = \frac{777480}{8288641}$ .

### Standard Chabauty Does not Work

The differences of the points we found generate a subgroup of rank 2 in the Mordell-Weil group of C (which actually does have rank 2 itself), so the standard version of Chabauty's method does not apply.

```
> bas := ReducedBasis([pt - ptsC[1] : pt in ptsC]); bas;
[ (x^2 - 1, 600, 2), (x^2 - 1, 600*x, 2) ]
> J := Jacobian(C);
> RankBound(J);
2
```

("Quadratic Chabauty" would apply here, since C is bielliptic.)

So we need to do something else.

## Two-Cover Descent

```
We compute the "fake 2-Selmer set" Sel<sup>(2)</sup><sub>fake</sub>(C) of C.
> Sel, mSel := TwoCoverDescent(C);
> #Sel;
2
> A> := Domain(mSel);
> Sel eq {mSel(x0 - th) : x0 in {1,-1}};
true
```

The last line verifies that the points  $(\pm 1, \pm 600)$  account for all of  $Sel_{fake}^{(2)}(C)$ . So if  $(\xi, \eta) \in C(\mathbb{Q})$ , then (for one choice of sign and some  $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$ )

$$\frac{\xi - \sqrt{-119}}{\pm 1 - \sqrt{-119}} \in \mathfrak{a}\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-119})^{\times 2}, \quad \frac{\xi - \sqrt{-39}}{\pm 1 - \sqrt{-39}} \in \mathfrak{a}\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-39})^{\times 2}, \quad \frac{\xi - \sqrt{-14}}{\pm 1 - \sqrt{-14}} \in \mathfrak{a}\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-14})^{\times 2}$$

The automorphism  $x \mapsto -x$  of C swaps the two elements, hence it suffices to consider one of them. We take the image of  $(1, \pm 600)$ .

### An Elliptic Curve

Recall that we have (w.l.o.g.)

 $\frac{\xi - \sqrt{-119}}{1 - \sqrt{-119}} \in \mathfrak{aQ}(\sqrt{-119})^{\times 2}, \quad \frac{\xi - \sqrt{-39}}{1 - \sqrt{-39}} \in \mathfrak{aQ}(\sqrt{-39})^{\times 2}, \quad \frac{\xi - \sqrt{-14}}{1 - \sqrt{-14}} \in \mathfrak{aQ}(\sqrt{-14})^{\times 2}.$ 

This implies in particular that there is  $\tau \in K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-119}, \sqrt{-39})$  such that

$$\tau^2 = 15(1 - \sqrt{-119})(1 - \sqrt{-39}) \cdot (\xi^2 + 14)(\xi - \sqrt{-119})(\xi - \sqrt{-39}),$$

so we get a K-rational point with rational X-coordinate on the elliptic curve

E: 
$$Y^2 = 15(1 - \sqrt{-119})(1 - \sqrt{-39}) \cdot (X^2 + 14)(X - \sqrt{-119})(X - \sqrt{-39})$$
.

This is the setting for Elliptic Curve Chabauty.

### Elliptic Curve Chabauty

```
We want to find all points (\xi, \tau) \in E(K) with \xi \in \mathbb{Q}.
This works when rank E(K) < [K : \mathbb{Q}] = 4.
```

```
> K := AbsoluteField(ext<Rationals() | x^2 + 119, x^2 + 39);
> w119 := Roots(x<sup>2</sup> + 119, K)[1,1]; w39 := Roots(x<sup>2</sup> + 39, K)[1,1];
> PK<X> := PolynomialRing(K);
> E := HyperellipticCurve(15*(1-w119)*(1-w39)*(X^2+14)*(X-w119)*(X-w39));
> EE, EtoEE := EllipticCurve(E, E![1, 15*(1-w119)*(1-w39)]);
> Invariants(TorsionSubgroup(EE)); Invariants(TwoSelmerGroup(EE));
[2]
[2, 2, 2]
> bas := Saturation(ReducedBasis([EtoEE(pt) : pt in Points(E, 10079/2879)]), 7); #bas;
3
> MW := AbelianGroup([2,0,0]);
> MWmap := map<MW -> EE | m :-> &+[s[i]*bas[i] : i in [1..3]] where s := Eltseq(m)>;
> P1 := ProjectiveSpace(Rationals(), 1);
> pi := Expand(Inverse(EtoEE)*map<E -> P1 | [E.1, E.3]>);
> chab := Chabauty(MWmap, pi : IndexBound := 2*3*5*7);
> {pi(MWmap(pt)) : pt in chab};
\{ (1 : 1), (10079/2879 : 1) \}
```

This finishes the proof.

### What is Going on Here?

Given a Diophantine quadruple  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ , the equations

$$a_1z + 1 = u_1^2$$
,  $a_2z + 1 = u_2^2$ ,  $a_3z + 1 = u_3^2$ ,  $a_4z + 1 = u_4^2$ 

define (after homogenising via  $1 = u_0^2$  and eliminating z) a diagonal curve  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  of genus 5:

$$(a_4 - a_1)u_0^2 - a_4u_1^2 + a_1u_4^2 = 0$$
  

$$(a_4 - a_2)u_0^2 - a_4u_2^2 + a_2u_4^2 = 0$$
  

$$(a_4 - a_3)u_0^2 - a_4u_3^2 + a_3u_4^2 = 0$$

Eliminating  $u_i$  gives a double cover  $X \to F_i$  with  $F_i$  of genus 1. Eliminating  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  gives a degree 4 map  $X \to Q_{ij}$  with a conic  $Q_{ij}$ .

### Isogeny and 2-Torsion

There is a "Richelot-type isogeny"  $\varphi: \operatorname{Jac}(X) \to \prod_{i=0}^{r} \operatorname{Jac}(F_i)$ . Its kernel is ker  $\varphi \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^5$ ; all points are defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . So we can easily compute the  $\hat{\varphi}$ -Selmer set  $\operatorname{Sel}^{\hat{\varphi}}(X)$ .

The kernel gives us 31 distinct étale double covers  $A_T \rightarrow Jac(X)$ , which we can pull back to étale double covers  $Y_T \rightarrow X$ .

30 of these have a nice explicit description. For each  $\xi \in \text{Sel}^{\hat{\varphi}}(X)$  there is a twist  $Y_{T,\xi} \to X$ ; each point  $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$  lifts to one of these twists (same  $\xi$  for all T).

The Prym variety of  $Y_{T,\xi} \to X$  is (generically) the Weil restriction of an elliptic curve  $E_{T,\xi}$  over a biquadratic field  $K_T$ . There are morphisms  $Y_{T,\xi} \to E_{T,\xi}$  and  $E_{T,\xi} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ whose composition is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

In this setting, Elliptic Curve Chabauty can be used to find  $Y_{T,\xi}(\mathbb{Q})$ .

## "Algorithm"

Given a diagonal genus 5 curve X with  $X(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ :

- 1. Compute  $S = Sel^{\hat{\phi}}(X)$ .
- 2. For each  $\xi \in S$  (modulo action of Aut(X)) do:
  - 2a. Find  $0 \neq T \in \ker \phi$  such that
    - $E_{T,\xi}(K_T)$  can be determined (up to finite index), and
    - rank  $E_{T,\xi}(K_T) < 4$ .
  - 2b. Apply Elliptic Curve Chabauty to find  $Y_{T,\xi}(\mathbb{Q})$ and its image  $X(\mathbb{Q})_{\xi}$  in  $X(\mathbb{Q})$ .
- 3. If Step 2 was successful, then  $X(\mathbb{Q}) = Aut(X) \cdot \bigcup_{\xi \in S} X(\mathbb{Q})_{\xi}$ .

(This extends and improves on recent work by Gonzáles-Jiménez.)

## Further Results

We have applied this "algorithm" to quadruples from the family

$$(t-1, t+1, 4t, 4t(4t^2-1))$$

(where  $\pm t \neq 0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}$ ).

In this way, we could show that the regular extension is the only one for

$$t = 2$$
 (see above), 3,  $\frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\frac{3}{2}$ , 4,  $\frac{3}{4}$ ,  $\frac{4}{3}$ , 5,  $\frac{1}{5}$ ,  $\frac{2}{5}$ ,  $\frac{3}{5}$ ,  $\frac{5}{4}$ ,  $\frac{4}{5}$ .

(For  $t = \frac{3}{5}$ , there is a second "illegal" extension besides 0 given by  $\frac{12}{5}$ , which is already present. Note that  $\left(\frac{12}{5}\right)^2 + 1 = \left(\frac{13}{5}\right)^2$ .)

Thank You!