



UNIVERSITÄT
BAYREUTH

Conjectural asymptotics for prime orders of points on elliptic curves over number fields

Michael Stoll
Universität Bayreuth

joint with Maarten Derickx

Representation Theory XVIII / Number Theory
Dubrovnik, June 19, 2023

The Problem

Goal.

Fix $d \geq 1$.

Determine the possible groups $E(K)_{\text{tors}}$

for elliptic curves E over a number field K of degree d !

The Problem

Goal.

Fix $d \geq 1$.

Determine the possible groups $E(K)_{\text{tors}}$
for elliptic curves E over a number field K of degree d !

The **first step** is to determine the **prime divisors** of $\#E(K)$.

Definition.

$$S(d) := \{p \text{ prime} \mid \exists \mathbb{Q} \subset K, [K : \mathbb{Q}] = d \exists E/K \text{ ell. curve } \exists P \in E(K) : \text{ord}(P) = p\}$$

The Problem

Goal.

Fix $d \geq 1$.

Determine the possible groups $E(K)_{\text{tors}}$
for elliptic curves E over a number field K of degree d !

The **first step** is to determine the **prime divisors** of $\#E(K)$.

Definition.

$$S(d) := \{p \text{ prime} \mid \exists \mathbb{Q} \subset K, [K : \mathbb{Q}] = d \exists E/K \text{ ell. curve } \exists P \in E(K) : \text{ord}(P) = p\}$$

Merel: $S(d)$ is **finite**.

The Problem

Definition.

$$S(d) := \{p \text{ prime} \mid \exists \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{K}, [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] = d \exists E/\mathbb{K} \text{ ell. curve } \exists P \in E(\mathbb{K}) : \text{ord}(P) = p\}$$

The Problem

Definition.

$$S(d) := \{p \text{ prime} \mid \exists \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{K}, [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] = d \exists E/\mathbb{K} \text{ ell. curve } \exists P \in E(\mathbb{K}) : \text{ord}(P) = p\}$$

Problems.

- 1 Determine $S(d)$ for **small d** !

The Problem

Definition.

$$S(d) := \{p \text{ prime} \mid \exists \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{K}, [\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] = d \exists E/\mathbb{K} \text{ ell. curve } \exists P \in E(\mathbb{K}) : \text{ord}(P) = p\}$$

Problems.

❶ Determine $S(d)$ for **small d** !

$S(1) = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$	(Mazur)
$S(2) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$	(Kamienny)
$S(3) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$	(Parent)
$S(4) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17\}$	(DKSS)
$S(5) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19\}$	(DKSS)
$S(6) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 37\}$	(DKSS)
$S(7) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23\}$	(DKSS)
$S(8) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23\}$	(DS, Khawaja)

The Problem

- ① Determine $S(d)$ for **small $d!$**
- ② Bound the elements of $S(d)$ for **large $d!$**

The Problem

- ① Determine $S(d)$ for **small d** !
- ② Bound the elements of $S(d)$ for **large d** !

We will focus on ② in this talk.

The Problem

- ① Determine $S(d)$ for **small d** !
- ② Bound the elements of $S(d)$ for **large d** !

We will focus on ② in this talk.

The best general result in this direction is due to Oesterlé:

$$\max S(d) \leq (3^{d/2} + 1)^2.$$

Relation With Rational Points

If $p \in S(d)$, then there is a number field K of degree d , an elliptic curve E over K and a point $P \in E(K)$ of order p .

Relation With Rational Points

If $p \in S(d)$, then there is a number field K of degree d , an elliptic curve E over K and a point $P \in E(K)$ of order p .

The pair (E, P) gives rise to a point $x \in X_1(p)(K)$ that is not a cusp.

Relation With Rational Points

If $p \in S(d)$, then there is a number field K of degree d , an elliptic curve E over K and a point $P \in E(K)$ of order p .

The pair (E, P) gives rise to a point $x \in X_1(p)(K)$ that is not a cusp.

Then $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -rational effective divisor of degree d on $X_1(p)$.

Such divisors correspond to points on the d th symmetric power $X_1(p)^{(d)}$.

Relation With Rational Points

If $p \in S(d)$, then there is a number field K of degree d , an elliptic curve E over K and a point $P \in E(K)$ of order p .

The pair (E, P) gives rise to a point $x \in X_1(p)(K)$ that is not a cusp.

Then $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -rational effective divisor of degree d on $X_1(p)$.

Such divisors correspond to points on the d th symmetric power $X_1(p)^{(d)}$.

So we obtain a rational point on $X_1(p)^{(d)}$ whose support does not contain a cusp.

Relation With Rational Points

If $p \in S(d)$, then there is a number field K of degree d , an elliptic curve E over K and a point $P \in E(K)$ of order p .

The pair (E, P) gives rise to a point $x \in X_1(p)(K)$ that is not a cusp.

Then $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -rational effective divisor of degree d on $X_1(p)$.

Such divisors correspond to points on the d th symmetric power $X_1(p)^{(d)}$.

So we obtain a rational point on $X_1(p)^{(d)}$ whose support does not contain a cusp.

Conclusion.

If all rational points on $X_1(p)^{(d)}$ have cusps in their support, then $p \notin S(d)$.

Gonality (1)

Definition.

Let X be a (nice) curve over K .

The K -gonality of X , $\text{gon}_K(X)$, is the minimal degree of a non-constant function $f \in K(X)$.

Gonality (1)

Definition.

Let X be a (nice) curve over K .

The K -gonality of X , $\text{gon}_K(X)$, is the minimal degree of a non-constant function $f \in K(X)$.

Fact.

If D_1 and D_2 are linearly equivalent effective K -rational divisors on X with $\deg D_1 = \deg D_2 < \text{gon}_K(X)$, then $D_1 = D_2$.

Gonality (1)

Definition.

Let X be a (nice) curve over K .

The K -gonality of X , $\text{gon}_K(X)$, is the minimal degree of a non-constant function $f \in K(X)$.

Fact.

If D_1 and D_2 are linearly equivalent effective K -rational divisors on X with $\deg D_1 = \deg D_2 < \text{gon}_K(X)$, then $D_1 = D_2$.

Fact (Abramovich; Kim-Sarnak).

$$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p)) \geq \frac{325}{2^{16}}(p^2 - 1) \quad \text{for prime } p.$$

Gonality (2)

Fact.

When $g(X_1(p)) \geq 2$,

$$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p)) \leq g(X_1(p)) \leq \frac{p^2 - 1}{24}.$$

Better (by a constant) asymptotic upper bounds are known.

Gonality (2)

Fact.

When $g(X_1(p)) \geq 2$,

$$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p)) \leq g(X_1(p)) \leq \frac{p^2 - 1}{24}.$$

Better (by a constant) asymptotic upper bounds are known.

This implies that

$$\{p : p \text{ prime and } p \leq \sqrt{24d + 1}\} \subset S(d)$$

and these prime orders occur in **infinite families**.

Gonality (2)

Fact.

When $g(X_1(p)) \geq 2$,

$$\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p)) \leq g(X_1(p)) \leq \frac{p^2 - 1}{24}.$$

Better (by a constant) asymptotic upper bounds are known.

This implies that

$$\{p : p \text{ prime and } p \leq \sqrt{24d + 1}\} \subset S(d)$$

and these prime orders occur in **infinite families**.

Since $\text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p)) \asymp p^2 - 1$,

this gives the asymptotics for **non-sporadic** points up to a constant factor.

Hecke Correspondences

Fix a prime p and let $\ell \neq p$ be another prime.

Let $X_{1,0}(p, \ell)$ denote the modular curve

whose points classify triples (E, P, C)

with $P \in E$ a point of order p and $C \subset E$ a subgroup of order ℓ .

Hecke Correspondences

Fix a prime p and let $\ell \neq p$ be another prime.

Let $X_{1,0}(p, \ell)$ denote the modular curve

whose points classify triples (E, P, C)

with $P \in E$ a point of order p and $C \subset E$ a subgroup of order ℓ .

There are two degeneracy maps $\alpha, \beta: X_{1,0}(p, \ell) \rightarrow X_1(p)$

given by $\alpha: (E, P, C) \mapsto (E, P)$ and $\beta: (E, P, C) \mapsto (E/C, P + C)$.

Hecke Correspondences

Fix a prime p and let $\ell \neq p$ be another prime.

Let $X_{1,0}(p, \ell)$ denote the modular curve

whose points classify triples (E, P, C)

with $P \in E$ a point of order p and $C \subset E$ a subgroup of order ℓ .

There are two degeneracy maps $\alpha, \beta: X_{1,0}(p, \ell) \rightarrow X_1(p)$

given by $\alpha: (E, P, C) \mapsto (E, P)$ and $\beta: (E, P, C) \mapsto (E/C, P + C)$.

They induce the correspondence $T_\ell = \beta_* \circ \alpha^*$ on $X_1(p)$,

which gives an endomorphism T_ℓ of the divisor group $\text{Div } X_1(p)$,

which in turn induces $T_\ell \in \text{End } J_1(p)$.

Hecke Correspondences

Fix a **prime** p and let $\ell \neq p$ be another prime.

Let $X_{1,0}(p, \ell)$ denote the **modular curve**

whose points classify triples (E, P, C)

with $P \in E$ a **point of order** p and $C \subset E$ a **subgroup of order** ℓ .

There are two **degeneracy maps** $\alpha, \beta: X_{1,0}(p, \ell) \rightarrow X_1(p)$

given by $\alpha: (E, P, C) \mapsto (E, P)$ and $\beta: (E, P, C) \mapsto (E/C, P + C)$.

They induce the **correspondence** $T_\ell = \beta_* \circ \alpha^*$ on $X_1(p)$,

which gives an **endomorphism** T_ℓ of the divisor group $\text{Div } X_1(p)$,

which in turn induces $T_\ell \in \text{End } J_1(p)$.

On (non-cuspidal) points, it is given by

$$T_\ell(E, P) = \sum_{C \leq E, \#C=\ell} (E/C, P + C).$$

Properties of the Hecke Correspondence

For each $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, we have the **diamond operator** $\langle a \rangle$ of $X_1(p)$ given by $\langle a \rangle: (E, P) \mapsto (E, aP)$; it is an **automorphism** of $X_1(p) \rightarrow X_0(p)$.

Properties of the Hecke Correspondence

For each $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, we have the **diamond operator** $\langle \alpha \rangle$ of $X_1(p)$ given by $\langle \alpha \rangle: (E, P) \mapsto (E, \alpha P)$; it is an **automorphism** of $X_1(p) \rightarrow X_0(p)$.

Theorem. (D-S)

Let F be a **monic polynomial** whose coefficients are **integral** linear combinations of **diamond operators**.

Then the **kernel** of $F(T_\ell)$ on $\text{Div } X_1(p)$ ($\ell \neq p$ primes) consists of divisors **supported in cusps**.

Properties of the Hecke Correspondence

For each $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, we have the **diamond operator** $\langle \alpha \rangle$ of $X_1(p)$ given by $\langle \alpha \rangle: (E, P) \mapsto (E, \alpha P)$; it is an **automorphism** of $X_1(p) \rightarrow X_0(p)$.

Theorem. (D-S)

Let F be a **monic polynomial** whose coefficients are **integral** linear combinations of **diamond operators**.

Then the **kernel** of $F(T_\ell)$ on $\text{Div } X_1(p)$ ($\ell \neq p$ primes) consists of divisors **supported in cusps**.

Proposition (Eichler-Shimura).

Let $\ell \neq p$ be an **odd prime**.

Then $T_\ell - \ell \langle \ell \rangle - 1 \in \text{End } J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$.

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion (\dagger).

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a **sum of cusps** plus a divisor **fixed by $\langle a \rangle$** .

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion (\dagger).

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a **sum of cusps** plus a divisor **fixed by $\langle a \rangle$** .

Proof.

Fix a **rational cusp c** on $X_1(p)$ and consider $[x - d \cdot c] \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$.

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion (\dagger).

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a **sum of cusps** plus a divisor **fixed by $\langle a \rangle$** .

Proof.

Fix a **rational cusp c** on $X_1(p)$ and consider $[x - d \cdot c] \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$.

$$(\langle a \rangle - 1)([c]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$$

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion (\dagger).

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a **sum of cusps** plus a divisor **fixed by $\langle a \rangle$** .

Proof.

Fix a **rational cusp c** on $X_1(p)$ and consider $[x - d \cdot c] \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$.

$$(\langle a \rangle - 1)([c]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \xrightarrow{(\dagger)} (\langle a \rangle - 1)([x]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$$

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion (\dagger).

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a **sum of cusps** plus a divisor **fixed by $\langle a \rangle$** .

Proof.

Fix a **rational cusp c** on $X_1(p)$ and consider $[x - d \cdot c] \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle a \rangle - 1)([c]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} &\stackrel{(\dagger)}{\implies} (\langle a \rangle - 1)([x]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \\ &\implies (T_3 - 3\langle 3 \rangle - 1)(\langle a \rangle - 1)([x]) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion (\dagger).

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a **sum of cusps** plus a divisor **fixed by $\langle a \rangle$** .

Proof.

Fix a **rational cusp c** on $X_1(p)$ and consider $[x - d \cdot c] \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle a \rangle - 1)([c]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} &\stackrel{(\dagger)}{\implies} (\langle a \rangle - 1)([x]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \\ &\implies (T_3 - 3\langle 3 \rangle - 1)(\langle a \rangle - 1)([x]) = 0 \\ &\implies (T_3\langle a \rangle + 3\langle 3 \rangle + 1)x \sim (T_3 + 3\langle 3a \rangle + \langle a \rangle)x \end{aligned}$$

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion (\dagger).

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a **sum of cusps** plus a divisor **fixed by $\langle a \rangle$** .

Proof.

Fix a **rational cusp c** on $X_1(p)$ and consider $[x - d \cdot c] \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\langle a \rangle - 1)([c]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} &\stackrel{(\dagger)}{\implies} (\langle a \rangle - 1)([x]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \\
 &\implies (T_3 - 3\langle 3 \rangle - 1)(\langle a \rangle - 1)([x]) = 0 \\
 &\implies (T_3\langle a \rangle + 3\langle 3 \rangle + 1)x \sim (T_3 + 3\langle 3a \rangle + \langle a \rangle)x \\
 \stackrel{8d < \text{gon}}{\implies} &(T_3 - 3\langle 3 \rangle - 1)(\langle a \rangle - 1)(x) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion (\dagger).

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a **sum of cusps** plus a divisor **fixed by $\langle a \rangle$** .

Proof.

Fix a **rational cusp c** on $X_1(p)$ and consider $[x - d \cdot c] \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\langle a \rangle - 1)([c]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} &\stackrel{(\dagger)}{\implies} (\langle a \rangle - 1)([x]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \\
 &\implies (T_3 - 3\langle 3 \rangle - 1)(\langle a \rangle - 1)([x]) = 0 \\
 &\implies (T_3\langle a \rangle + 3\langle 3 \rangle + 1)x \sim (T_3 + 3\langle 3a \rangle + \langle a \rangle)x \\
 \stackrel{8d < \text{gon}}{\implies} &(T_3 - 3\langle 3 \rangle - 1)(\langle a \rangle - 1)(x) = 0 \\
 &\implies \langle a \rangle x - x \in \ker(T_3 - 3\langle 3 \rangle - 1 \mid \text{Div } X_1(p))
 \end{aligned}$$

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion (\dagger).

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a **sum of cusps** plus a divisor **fixed by $\langle a \rangle$** .

Proof.

Fix a **rational cusp c** on $X_1(p)$ and consider $[x - d \cdot c] \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\langle a \rangle - 1)([c]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} &\stackrel{(\dagger)}{\implies} (\langle a \rangle - 1)([x]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \\
 &\implies (T_3 - 3\langle 3 \rangle - 1)(\langle a \rangle - 1)([x]) = 0 \\
 &\implies (T_3\langle a \rangle + 3\langle 3 \rangle + 1)x \sim (T_3 + 3\langle 3a \rangle + \langle a \rangle)x \\
 &\stackrel{8d < \text{gon}}{\implies} (T_3 - 3\langle 3 \rangle - 1)(\langle a \rangle - 1)(x) = 0 \\
 &\implies \langle a \rangle x - x \in \ker(T_3 - 3\langle 3 \rangle - 1 \mid \text{Div } X_1(p)) \\
 &\implies \langle a \rangle x - x \text{ supported in cusps} \implies \text{claim.}
 \end{aligned}$$

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion (\dagger).

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a **sum of cusps** plus a divisor **fixed by $\langle a \rangle$** .

Proof.

Fix a **rational cusp c** on $X_1(p)$ and consider $[x - d \cdot c] \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\langle a \rangle - 1)([c]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} &\stackrel{(\dagger)}{\implies} (\langle a \rangle - 1)([x]) \in J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \\
 &\implies (T_3 - 3\langle 3 \rangle - 1)(\langle a \rangle - 1)([x]) = 0 \\
 &\implies (T_3\langle a \rangle + 3\langle 3 \rangle + 1)x \sim (T_3 + 3\langle 3a \rangle + \langle a \rangle)x \\
 \stackrel{8d < \text{gon}}{\implies} &(T_3 - 3\langle 3 \rangle - 1)(\langle a \rangle - 1)(x) = 0 \\
 &\implies \langle a \rangle x - x \in \ker(T_3 - 3\langle 3 \rangle - 1 \mid \text{Div } X_1(p)) \\
 &\implies \langle a \rangle x - x \text{ supported in cusps} \implies \text{claim.}
 \end{aligned}$$

(In some cases, one can replace 8 by a smaller number.)

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion.

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a sum of cusps plus a divisor fixed by $\langle a \rangle$.

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion.

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a **sum of cusps** plus a divisor **fixed by $\langle a \rangle$** .

Corollary.

In the Theorem, assume x has **no cusps** in its support.

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion.

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a sum of cusps plus a divisor fixed by $\langle a \rangle$.

Corollary.

In the Theorem, assume x has no cusps in its support.

If we can take a to generate $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$,

then x is a sum of (set-theoretic) pull-backs of points on $X_0(p)$.

A Global Criterion

Theorem. (D-S)

Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime, $d \geq 1$, and $x \in X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and assume that $(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion.

If $8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$, then x is a **sum of cusps** plus a divisor **fixed by $\langle a \rangle$** .

Corollary.

In the Theorem, assume x has **no cusps** in its support.

If we can take a to **generate** $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$,

then x is a **sum** of (set-theoretic) **pull-backs** of points on $X_0(p)$.

$p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and $j = 0$: pull-backs have degree $(p-1)/6$.

$p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $j = 1728$: pull-backs have degree $(p-1)/4$.

Else: pull-backs have degree $(p-1)/2$.

A Global Criterion

Corollary.

In the Theorem, assume x has **no cusps** in its support.

If we can take a to **generate** $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$,

then x is a **sum** of (set-theoretic) **pull-backs** of points on $X_0(p)$.

$p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and $j = 0$: pull-backs have degree $(p-1)/6$.

$p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $j = 1728$: pull-backs have degree $(p-1)/4$.

Else: pull-backs have degree $(p-1)/2$.

A Global Criterion

Corollary.

In the Theorem, assume x has **no cusps** in its support.

If we can take a to **generate** $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$,

then x is a **sum** of (set-theoretic) **pull-backs** of points on $X_0(p)$.

$p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and $j = 0$: pull-backs have degree $(p-1)/6$.

$p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $j = 1728$: pull-backs have degree $(p-1)/4$.

Else: pull-backs have degree $(p-1)/2$.

Points on $X_0(p)$ with $j = 0$ or $j = 1728$ have **degree ≥ 2** .

$\rightsquigarrow p = 3d + 1$ for $j = 0$, $p = 2d + 1$ for $j = 1728$ (d **even**)

A Global Criterion

Corollary.

In the Theorem, assume x has **no cusps** in its support.

If we can take a to **generate** $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$,

then x is a **sum** of (set-theoretic) **pull-backs** of points on $X_0(p)$.

$p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and $j = 0$: pull-backs have degree $(p-1)/6$.

$p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $j = 1728$: pull-backs have degree $(p-1)/4$.

Else: pull-backs have degree $(p-1)/2$.

Points on $X_0(p)$ with $j = 0$ or $j = 1728$ have **degree ≥ 2** .

$\rightsquigarrow p = 3d + 1$ for $j = 0$, $p = 2d + 1$ for $j = 1728$ (d **even**)

$8d < \text{gon}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_1(p))$ holds when $p > \sqrt{\gamma d + 1}$ for some $\gamma > 0$.

If d is **large**, then $p \in S(d)$ implies (under the **assumption on a**) that $p \leq 2d + 1$, or else d is **even** and $p = 3d + 1$.

Strange Primes

We say that a prime p is strange if $(\langle \alpha \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ has positive rank for a generator α of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ (i.e., the assumption on α does not hold.)

Strange Primes

We say that a **prime** p is **strange** if $(\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ has **positive rank** for a **generator** \mathbf{a} of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ (i.e., the **assumption on** \mathbf{a} **does not** hold.)

We can split $J_1(p) \sim J_0(p) \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ up to isogeny with **simple** abelian varieties A_1, \dots, A_n over \mathbb{Q} .

If \mathbf{a} generates $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, then $(\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)) \sim A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$.

Strange Primes

We say that a **prime** p is **strange** if $(\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ has **positive rank** for a **generator** \mathbf{a} of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ (i.e., the **assumption on** \mathbf{a} **does not** hold.)

We can split $J_1(p) \sim J_0(p) \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ up to isogeny with **simple** abelian varieties A_1, \dots, A_n over \mathbb{Q} .

If \mathbf{a} generates $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, then $(\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)) \sim A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$.

So p is strange iff $\text{rk } A_j(\mathbb{Q}) > 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Strange Primes

We say that a **prime** p is **strange** if $(\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ has **positive rank** for a **generator** \mathbf{a} of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ (i.e., the **assumption on** \mathbf{a} **does not** hold.)

We can split $J_1(p) \sim J_0(p) \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ up to isogeny with **simple** abelian varieties A_1, \dots, A_n over \mathbb{Q} .

If \mathbf{a} generates $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, then $(\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)) \sim A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$.

So p is strange iff $\text{rk } A_j(\mathbb{Q}) > 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$.

By results of Kolyvagin-Logachëv and Kato, this implies that there is a **newform** f of weight 2 for $\Gamma_1(p)$ with **nontrivial character** χ such that $L(f, 1) = 0$.

Strange Primes

We say that a **prime** p is **strange** if $(\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ has **positive rank** for a **generator** \mathbf{a} of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ (i.e., the **assumption on** \mathbf{a} **does not** hold.)

We can split $J_1(p) \sim J_0(p) \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ up to isogeny with **simple** abelian varieties A_1, \dots, A_n over \mathbb{Q} .

If \mathbf{a} generates $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, then $(\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)) \sim A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$.

So p is strange iff $\text{rk } A_j(\mathbb{Q}) > 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$.

By results of Kolyvagin-Logachëv and Kato, this implies that there is a **newform** f of weight 2 for $\Gamma_1(p)$ with **nontrivial character** χ such that $L(f, 1) = 0$.

This can be checked by a **computation** with **modular symbols**.

Strange Primes

We say that a **prime** p is **strange** if $(\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ has **positive rank** for a **generator** \mathbf{a} of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ (i.e., the **assumption on** \mathbf{a} **does not** hold.)

We can split $J_1(p) \sim J_0(p) \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ up to isogeny with **simple** abelian varieties A_1, \dots, A_n over \mathbb{Q} .

If \mathbf{a} generates $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, then $(\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)) \sim A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$.

So p is strange iff $\text{rk } A_j(\mathbb{Q}) > 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$.

By results of Kolyvagin-Logachëv and Kato, this implies that there is a **newform** f of weight 2 for $\Gamma_1(p)$ with **nontrivial character** χ such that $L(f, 1) = 0$.

This can be checked by a **computation** with **modular symbols**.

Define **strdim**(p) to be the number of such “**strange newforms**” of level p .

Strange Primes Below 10^5

p	61	97	101	181	193	409	421	733	853	1021
ord(χ)	6	12	10	6	12	12	6	6	6	30
strdim(p)	2	4	4	2	4	4	2	2	2	8
p	1777	1801	1861	2377	2917	3229	3793	4201	4733	5441
ord(χ)	3	5	6	12	6	3	12	3	7	10
strdim(p)	2	4	6	4	2	2	4	2	6	4
p	5821	5953	6133	6781	7477	8681	8713	10093	11497	12941
ord(χ)	6	3	6	6	14	10	4, 12	6	3	10
strdim(p)	2	2	2	2	6	4	4 + 4	2	2	4
p	14533	15061	15289	17041	17053	17257	18199	20341	22093	23017
ord(χ)	6	6	12	3	6	12	3	6	6	12
strdim(p)	2	4	4	2	2	4	4	2	2	4
p	23593	26161	26177	28201	29569	31033	31657	32497	35521	35537
ord(χ)	12	3	4	3	2	3	3	3	3	4
strdim(p)	4	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	4
p	36373	39313	41081	41131	41593	42793	48733	52561	52691	53113
ord(χ)	6	12	5	3	12	3	6	3	5	12
strdim(p)	2	4	4	2	4	2	2	2	4	4
p	53857	63313	63901	65171	65449	66973	68737	69061	69401	69457
ord(χ)	12	12	6	5	12	6	12	6	5	4
strdim(p)	4	4	2	4	4	2	4	2	4	4
p	73009	86113	86161	96289						
ord(χ)	12	12	4	12						
strdim(p)	4	4	4	4						

A More General Statement

We can fix a bound s on $\text{strdim}(p)$.

Then our conclusions remain valid for sufficiently large d (depending on s) and all primes p with $\text{strdim}(p) \leq s$.

A More General Statement

We can fix a bound s on $\text{strdim}(\mathfrak{p})$.

Then our conclusions remain valid for sufficiently large d (depending on s) and all primes \mathfrak{p} with $\text{strdim}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq s$.

Sketch of proof.

There is a monic polynomial F of degree $\text{strdim}(\mathfrak{p})$ and with bounded (in terms of $\text{strdim}(\mathfrak{p})$) coefficients such that $F(T_2)(\langle \mathfrak{a} \rangle - 1)(J_1(\mathfrak{p})(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion.

We can then run a similar argument as before.

A More General Statement

We can fix a bound s on $\text{strdim}(p)$.

Then our conclusions remain valid for sufficiently large d (depending on s) and all primes p with $\text{strdim}(p) \leq s$.

Sketch of proof.

There is a monic polynomial F of degree $\text{strdim}(p)$ and with bounded (in terms of $\text{strdim}(p)$) coefficients such that $F(T_2)(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion.

We can then run a similar argument as before.

Conjecture.

$\text{strdim}(p)$ is uniformly bounded (or at least grows very slowly).

A More General Statement

We can fix a bound s on $\text{strdim}(p)$.

Then our conclusions remain valid for sufficiently large d (depending on s) and all primes p with $\text{strdim}(p) \leq s$.

Sketch of proof.

There is a monic polynomial F of degree $\text{strdim}(p)$ and with bounded (in terms of $\text{strdim}(p)$) coefficients such that $F(T_2)(\langle a \rangle - 1)(J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}))$ is torsion.

We can then run a similar argument as before.

Conjecture.

$\text{strdim}(p)$ is uniformly bounded (or at least grows very slowly).

This would imply $\max S(d) \leq 3d + 1$ for all sufficiently large d .

A Refined Result

A similar conjecture on the “even rank part” of $J_0(p)$:

Conjecture.

There is a **uniform** (or sufficiently slowly growing) **bound** for the number of **newforms** f of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(p)$ (p **prime**) such that $w_p(f) = -f$ and $L(f, 1) = 0$.

A Refined Result

A similar conjecture on the “even rank part” of $J_0(p)$:

Conjecture.

There is a **uniform** (or sufficiently slowly growing) **bound** for the number of **newforms** f of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(p)$ (p **prime**) such that $w_p(f) = -f$ and $L(f, 1) = 0$.

Together, both conjectures imply:

There is $C > 0$ such that for d sufficiently large,

$$S(d) \subset \{p \leq \sqrt{Cd + 1}\} \cup \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{2d}{m} + 1 : m \mid d, m = h\left(-\left\{\frac{1}{4}\right\} \left(\frac{2d}{m} + 1\right)\right) \right\} & d \text{ odd,} \\ \left\{ \frac{d}{m} + 1 : m \mid d \right\} \cup \{2d + 1, 3d + 1\} & d \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

A Refined Result

A similar conjecture on the “even rank part” of $J_0(p)$:

Conjecture.

There is a **uniform** (or sufficiently slowly growing) **bound** for the number of **newforms** f of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(p)$ (p **prime**) such that $w_p(f) = -f$ and $L(f, 1) = 0$.

Together, both conjectures imply:

There is $C > 0$ such that for d sufficiently large,

$$S(d) \subset \{p \leq \sqrt{Cd + 1}\} \cup \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{2d}{m} + 1 : m \mid d, m = h\left(-\left\{\frac{1}{4}\right\} \left(\frac{2d}{m} + 1\right)\right) \right\} & d \text{ odd,} \\ \left\{ \frac{d}{m} + 1 : m \mid d \right\} \cup \{2d + 1, 3d + 1\} & d \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max S(2n)}{2n} = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max S(2n + 1)}{2n + 1} = 0.$$

Thank You!