Heuristic Construction of Linear Codes over Finite Chain Rings with High Minimum Homogeneous Weight

Johannes Zwanzger

University of Bayreuth

<span id="page-0-0"></span>Magdeburg November 13th, 2009

1967: Nordstrom and Robinson find nonlinear binary  $[16, 2^8, 6]$ -code (linear: minimum distance  $\leq 5$ )

- 1967: Nordstrom and Robinson find nonlinear binary  $[16, 2^8, 6]$ -code (linear: minimum distance  $\leq$  5)
- Preparata & Kerdock construct infinite series of such codes including the NR-code.

- 1967: Nordstrom and Robinson find nonlinear binary  $[16, 2^8, 6]$ -code (linear: minimum distance  $\leq 5$ )
- Preparata & Kerdock construct infinite series of such codes including the NR-code.
- Nechaev 1989, Hammons et al. 1994: K. & P.-codes are the Gray image of  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes

- 1967: Nordstrom and Robinson find nonlinear binary  $[16, 2^8, 6]$ -code (linear: minimum distance  $\leq 5$ )
- Preparata & Kerdock construct infinite series of such codes including the NR-code.
- Nechaev 1989, Hammons et al. 1994: K. & P.-codes are the Gray image of  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes

Questions: Are there more examples? What about other rings?

## Definition

A finite chain ring R is a finite ring with unity whose left ideals form a chain  $R = I_0 \supseteq I_1 \cdots \supseteq I_m = \{0\}$ . *m* is called the *chain length* of *R*.

## Definition

A finite chain ring R is a finite ring with unity whose left ideals form a chain  $R = I_0 \supseteq I_1 \cdots \supseteq I_m = \{0\}$ . *m* is called the *chain length* of *R*.

$$
\bullet \; \exists q: R/I_1 \cong \mathbb{F}_q.
$$

# Definition

A finite chain ring R is a finite ring with unity whose left ideals form a chain  $R = I_0 \supseteq I_1 \cdots \supseteq I_m = \{0\}$ . *m* is called the *chain length* of *R*.

$$
\bullet \; \exists q: R/I_1 \cong \mathbb{F}_q.
$$

 $\bullet$  R, m and q will keep their meaning throughout this talk.

## Definition

A finite chain ring R is a finite ring with unity whose left ideals form a chain  $R = I_0 \supseteq I_1 \cdots \supseteq I_m = \{0\}$ . *m* is called the *chain length* of *R*.

$$
\bullet \; \exists q: R/I_1 \cong \mathbb{F}_q.
$$

 $\bullet$  R, m and q will keep their meaning throughout this talk.

$$
R := \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}
$$
, with p a prime  $(m = n, q = p)$ .

Linear code over R of length n: submodule of  ${}_{R}R^{n}$  (row convention).

Linear code over R of length n: submodule of  ${}_{R}R^{n}$  (row convention).

Linear code over R of length n: submodule of  ${}_{R}R^{n}$  (row convention).



Linear code over R of length n: submodule of  ${}_{R}R^{n}$  (row convention).

$$
R:=\mathbb{Z}_8\Rightarrow I_0=\mathbb{Z}_8, I_1=\{0,2,4,6\}, I_2=\{0,4\}, I_3=\{0\}
$$

Linear code over R of length n: submodule of  ${}_{R}R^{n}$  (row convention).

$$
R := \mathbb{Z}_8 \Rightarrow I_0 = \mathbb{Z}_8, I_1 = \{0, 2, 4, 6\}, I_2 = \{0, 4\}, I_3 = \{0\}
$$

$$
\Gamma = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 7 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 0 \end{array}\right)
$$

Linear code over R of length n: submodule of  ${}_{R}R^{n}$  (row convention).

$$
\mathit{R}:=\mathbb{Z}_8\Rightarrow\mathit{I}_0=\mathbb{Z}_8,\mathit{I}_1=\{0,2,4,6\},\mathit{I}_2=\{0,4\},\mathit{I}_3=\{0\}
$$

$$
\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 7 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
  
• *C*<sub>Γ</sub> has *shape* (3, 2, 1).

Linear code over R of length n: submodule of  ${}_{R}R^{n}$  (row convention).

$$
\mathit{R}:=\mathbb{Z}_8\Rightarrow\mathit{I}_0=\mathbb{Z}_8,\mathit{I}_1=\{0,2,4,6\},\mathit{I}_2=\{0,4\},\mathit{I}_3=\{0\}
$$

$$
\Gamma = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 7 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 0 \end{array}\right)
$$

• 
$$
C_{\Gamma}
$$
 has shape  $(3, 2, 1)$ .

• 
$$
R_0 = \mathbb{Z}_8
$$
,  $R_1 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $R_2 = \{0, 1\}$   $\Rightarrow$ 

Linear code over R of length n: submodule of  ${}_{R}R^{n}$  (row convention).

$$
\mathit{R}:=\mathbb{Z}_8\Rightarrow\mathit{I}_0=\mathbb{Z}_8,\mathit{I}_1=\{0,2,4,6\},\mathit{I}_2=\{0,4\},\mathit{I}_3=\{0\}
$$

$$
\mathsf{\Gamma} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 7 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 0 \end{array} \right)
$$

• 
$$
C_{\Gamma}
$$
 has shape  $(3, 2, 1)$ .

• 
$$
R_0 = \mathbb{Z}_8
$$
,  $R_1 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $R_2 = \{0, 1\}$   $\Rightarrow$   
 $C_\Gamma = \{u\Gamma : u \in R_0 \times R_1 \times R_2\}$ 

# Definition



I

# Definition

• Homogeneous weight:

$$
w: R \to \mathbb{Q}, w(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & r = 0 \\ q & r \in I_{m-1} \setminus \{0\} \\ q - 1 & r \in R \setminus I_{m-1} \end{cases}
$$

# Definition

• Homogeneous weight:

$$
w: R \to \mathbb{Q}, w(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & r = 0 \\ q & r \in I_{m-1} \setminus \{0\} \\ q - 1 & r \in R \setminus I_{m-1} \end{cases}
$$

 $d(r, r') := w(r - r')$  (extend to  $R^n$ )

# Definition

• Homogeneous weight:

$$
w: R \to \mathbb{Q}, w(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & r = 0 \\ q & r \in I_{m-1} \setminus \{0\} \\ q - 1 & r \in R \setminus I_{m-1} \end{cases}
$$

• 
$$
d(r, r') := w(r - r')
$$
 (extend to  $R^n$ )

• Minimum homogeneous distance:  $d(C) := \min\{d(c, c') : c, c' \in C, c \neq c'\} = \min\{w(c) : c \in C \setminus \{0\}\}\$ 

# Definition

• Homogeneous weight:

$$
w: R \to \mathbb{Q}, w(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & r = 0 \\ q & r \in I_{m-1} \setminus \{0\} \\ q - 1 & r \in R \setminus I_{m-1} \end{cases}
$$

- $d(r, r') := w(r r')$  (extend to  $R^n$ )
- Minimum homogeneous distance:  $d(C) := \min\{d(c, c') : c, c' \in C, c \neq c'\} = \min\{w(c) : c \in C \setminus \{0\}\}\$
- M. Greferath and S. Schmidt, 1999:  $\exists$  isometry  $\Psi: (R, q^{m-2} \cdot d) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_q^{q^{m-1}}, d_{\mathsf{ham}})$  ("Gray map")

# System of Diophantine inequalities

#### System of Diophantine inequalities





Define heuristic evaluation function eval :  $\mathbb{N}_0^t \to \mathbb{R}.$ 

- Define heuristic evaluation function eval :  $\mathbb{N}_0^t \to \mathbb{R}.$
- Construct  $x_0 \le x_1 \le x_2 \cdots \le x_n$  in  $\mathbb{N}_0^t$  with

- Define heuristic evaluation function eval :  $\mathbb{N}_0^t \to \mathbb{R}.$
- Construct  $x_0 \le x_1 \le x_2 \cdots \le x_n$  in  $\mathbb{N}_0^t$  with

$$
\blacktriangleright\ x_0=0
$$

- Define heuristic evaluation function eval :  $\mathbb{N}_0^t \to \mathbb{R}.$
- Construct  $x_0 \le x_1 \le x_2 \cdots \le x_n$  in  $\mathbb{N}_0^t$  with
	- $\blacktriangleright$   $x_0 = 0$
	- $x_{i+1} = x_i + e_{s_i}$

- Define heuristic evaluation function eval :  $\mathbb{N}_0^t \to \mathbb{R}.$
- Construct  $x_0 \le x_1 \le x_2 \cdots \le x_n$  in  $\mathbb{N}_0^t$  with
	- $\blacktriangleright$   $x_0 = 0$
	- $x_{i+1} = x_i + e_{s_i}$
	- $\blacktriangleright$   $s_i$  chosen s. t. eval $(x_i + e_{s_i})$  is maximized ("greedy")

- Define heuristic evaluation function eval :  $\mathbb{N}_0^t \to \mathbb{R}.$
- Construct  $x_0 \le x_1 \le x_2 \cdots \le x_n$  in  $\mathbb{N}_0^t$  with
	- $\blacktriangleright$   $x_0 = 0$
	- $x_{i+1} = x_i + e_{s_i}$
	- $\blacktriangleright$   $s_i$  chosen s. t. eval $(x_i + e_{s_i})$  is maximized ("greedy")
- If  $x_n$  is a solution  $\rightarrow$  terminate; otherwise: backtracking.

· Idea:

$$
\text{eval}(x) \stackrel{!}{\approx} \epsilon(x) := \frac{|\{y \ge x : ||y||_1 = n, My \ge \delta \cdot \mathbb{1}\}|}{|\{y \ge x : ||y||_1 = n\}|}
$$

· Idea:

$$
\text{eval}(x) \stackrel{!}{\approx} \epsilon(x) := \frac{|\{y \ge x : ||y||_1 = n, My \ge \delta \cdot \mathbb{1}\}|}{|\{y \ge x : ||y||_1 = n\}|}
$$

• Consider inequalities  $M_{i,*}y ≥ δ$  separately:

· Idea:

$$
\text{eval}(x) \stackrel{!}{\approx} \epsilon(x) := \frac{|\{y \ge x : ||y||_1 = n, My \ge \delta \cdot 1\}|}{|\{y \ge x : ||y||_1 = n\}|}
$$

• Consider inequalities  $M_{i,*}y ≥ δ$  separately:

$$
\epsilon_i(x) := \frac{|\{y \ge x : ||y||_1 = n, M_{i, *} y \ge \delta\}|}{|\{y \ge x : ||x||_1 = n\}|}
$$

Idea:

$$
eval(x) \stackrel{!}{\approx} \epsilon(x) := \frac{|\{y \ge x : ||y||_1 = n, My \ge \delta \cdot \mathbb{1}\}|}{|\{y \ge x : ||y||_1 = n\}|}
$$

• Consider inequalities  $M_{i,*}y \geq δ$  separately:

$$
\epsilon_i(x) := \frac{|\{y \ge x : ||y||_1 = n, M_{i, *} y \ge \delta\}|}{|\{y \ge x : ||x||_1 = n\}|}
$$

Assuming "stochastic independence" ⇒

$$
\epsilon(x) \approx \prod_{i=0}^{t-1} \epsilon_i(x) =: \text{eval}(x)
$$

•  $\epsilon_i(x)$  only depends from  $||x||_1$  and  $M_{i,*}x$ .

- $\epsilon_i(x)$  only depends from  $||x||_1$  and  $M_{i,*}x$ .
- Derive lookup table from coefficients of

$$
p_i(y, z) = \prod_{s: a_i^s > 0} \left( \sum_{j=0}^n y^{sj} z^j \binom{j + a_i^s - 1}{j} \right)
$$

 $(a_i^s :=$  multiplicity of weight s in  $M_{i,*}$ )

- $\epsilon_i(x)$  only depends from  $||x||_1$  and  $M_{i,*}x$ .
- Derive lookup table from coefficients of

$$
p_i(y, z) = \prod_{s: a_i^s > 0} \left( \sum_{j=0}^n y^{sj} z^j {j + a_i^s - 1 \choose j} \right)
$$

 $(a_i^s :=$  multiplicity of weight s in  $M_{i,*}$ )

• Using reductions:  $\leq (\delta + 2)(n + 1)^2$  multiplications.

• Codes of high minimum distance for more than 300 pairs  $(R, \lambda)$  $(n \leq 100)$  were constructed.

- Codes of high minimum distance for more than 300 pairs  $(R, \lambda)$  $(n \leq 100)$  were constructed.
- For char(R) = p many of them are provably optimal.

- Codes of high minimum distance for more than 300 pairs  $(R, \lambda)$  $(n < 100)$  were constructed.
- For char(R) = p many of them are provably optimal.
- $\bullet$  Two new  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes whose Gray image beats the corresponding upper binary linear bound were found:

- Codes of high minimum distance for more than 300 pairs  $(R, \lambda)$  $(n < 100)$  were constructed.
- For char(R) = p many of them are provably optimal.
- $\bullet$  Two new  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes whose Gray image beats the corresponding upper binary linear bound were found:

$$
\blacktriangleright \ \lambda = (2, 2, 2, 1), \ n = 29, \ d(C) = 28
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright \ \lambda = (2, 2, 2, 2), \ n = 57, \ d(C) = 56
$$

- Codes of high minimum distance for more than 300 pairs  $(R, \lambda)$  $(n < 100)$  were constructed.
- For char(R) = p many of them are provably optimal.
- $\bullet$  Two new  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes whose Gray image beats the corresponding upper binary linear bound were found:

$$
\blacktriangleright \ \lambda = (2, 2, 2, 1), \ n = 29, \ d(C) = 28
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright \ \lambda = (2, 2, 2, 2), \ n = 57, \ d(C) = 56
$$

All results: <http://www.mathe2.uni-bayreuth.de/20er/>

- Codes of high minimum distance for more than 300 pairs  $(R, \lambda)$  $(n < 100)$  were constructed.
- For char(R) = p many of them are provably optimal.
- $\bullet$  Two new  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes whose Gray image beats the corresponding upper binary linear bound were found:

$$
\blacktriangleright \ \lambda = (2, 2, 2, 1), \ n = 29, \ d(C) = 28
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright \ \lambda = (2, 2, 2, 2), \ n = 57, \ d(C) = 56
$$

All results: <http://www.mathe2.uni-bayreuth.de/20er/>

# Thanks for your attention!